

## Review 2: Logic-Based Inference

- **Deductive Inference in FOPL** (Chapter 9, Sections 10.3, 10.4)
  - Convert first order sentences to clause form
    - Definition of clauses
    - Conversion procedure
      - step 1: Eliminate implication and equivalence symbols
      - step 2: Move all negation symbols to individual predicates
      - step 3: Eliminate all existential quantifiers (Skolemization)
      - step 4: Eliminate all universal quantifiers
      - step 5: Convert the sentence to conjunctive normal form
      - step 6: use parenthesis to separate all disjunctions, then drop all  $\vee$ 's and  $\wedge$ 's
  - Unification (obtain mgu  $\theta$ )
    - Two terms  $x$  and  $y$  can be unified only if one of them, say  $x$ , is a variable and  $x$  does not appear anywhere in  $y$ . Then  $x/y$  is added into the substitution  $\theta$ .
    - When one binding variable/term is found, apply it to the remainders of both argument lists and to previously found bindings before proceeding to unify other arguments
    - Two argument lists of the same predicate are unifiable if every corresponding pair of terms, one from each list, is unifiable
  - Resolution
    - Two clauses  $C1$  and  $C2$  can be resolved if one contain literal  $P$  and the other contains  $\sim P$  and the argument lists of  $P$  and  $\sim P$  can be unified with mgu  $\theta$
    - The resulting clause (resolvent) is composed of all literals of  $C1$  and  $C2$  except  $P$  and  $\sim P$ , subject to variable substitution according to  $\theta$ .
  - Resolution Refutation
    - Write the axioms as FOL sentences and convert them into clause form
    - Write the goal (theorem) as a FOL sentence
    - Negate the goal and convert it to clause form
    - Select a pair of clauses for resolution which are
      - i) resolvable, and ii) promising toward deriving a null clause,
    - Inference stops when a null clause is derived
  - Control strategies
    - Depth-first: incomplete
    - Breadth-first: complete
    - Unit resolution (one of the two parent clauses is a singleton clause): incomplete in general but complete for Horn clauses
    - Input resolution (one of the two parent clauses is from the original set of clauses): incomplete in general but complete for Horn clauses
    - Set of support: complete
    - Linear resolution (one of the two parent clauses is either from the original set of clauses or is an ancestor of the other parent clause): complete
  - Horn clauses and logic programming
    - Definition of Horn clauses
    - Advantages and limitations of using Horn clauses
    - Logic programming as a general purpose programming language (viewing and resolution as function calls answer extraction)
    - Features of Prolog (Horn clauses, top-down/left-right, depth-first plus backtracking)

- Advantages
  - Clearly defined semantics (least ambiguous)
  - Expressiveness
  - Natural for some domains
- Disadvantages
  - Semantics is too rigid
  - Inefficiency (inference is NP}-hard with complete control strategies; semi-decidable)
  - Unnatural for many domains
- **Production (Rule-Based) Systems]** (Section 10.5)
  - System components: WM, rule base, inference engine (rule interpreter)
  - Inference procedure
    - Cycle of three phases: match, conflict-resolution, act/fire
    - Forward and backward inference
  - Conflict resolution
    - conflict set
    - conflict resolution policies (refraction, specificity, recency, priority/rule-ordering)
  - Advantages
    - Simplicity (for both language and inference)
    - Efficiency
    - Modularity (easy for KB maintenance)
    - Natural for many application domains
  - Disadvantages
    - No clearly defined semantics (based on informal understanding)
    - Incomplete inference procedure
    - Unpredictable side effects of ordering of rule applications
    - Less expressive (may not be suitable for some applications)
- **Structured representation**
  - Semantics (associative) networks
    - labeled nodes: objects, classes, concepts
    - Labeled directed links: relations (associations) between nodes
    - Reasoning about associations (marker passing and spreading activation)
  - ISA hierarchy and property inheritance
    - Super/subclass and instance/class relation
    - Inference by inheritance
    - Multiple inheritance (from different parents, from ancestors of different distances)
    - Exceptions in inheritance
  - Frame Systems
    - Definition (stereotypical views of the world; record like structure)
    - Slots, their values and facets
    - Procedural attachment and how they work (if-added, if-needed, if-updated)
    - Frames from different perspectives
- **Default reasoning**
  - Definition (inference is drawn in the absence of info to the contrary) and examples

- Default reasoning is non-monotonic, and it totally undecidable
- How production systems and semantic networks (and frame systems) handle simple default reasoning

### Review 3: Abduction, Uncertainty, and Probabilistic Reasoning

- **Abduction**

- Definition
- Difference between abduction, deduction, and induction
- Characteristics of abductive inference
  - Inference results are hypotheses, not theorems (may be false)
  - There may be multiple plausible hypotheses
  - Reasoning is often a hypothesize-and-test cycle
  - Reasoning is non-monotonic
  - Sources of uncertainty (uncertain data, knowledge, and inference)

- **Simple Bayesian approach to evidential/diagnostic reasoning**

- Bayes' theorem
- Conditional independence (and evidence) and single fault assumptions
- Methods for computing posterior probability and relative likelihood of a hypothesis, given some evidence

$$P(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l) = \frac{P(E_1, \dots, E_l | H_i) P(H_i)}{P(E_1, \dots, E_l)}$$

$$rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l) = P(E_1, \dots, E_l | H_i) P(H_i) = P(H_i) \prod_{j=1}^l P(E_j | H_i)$$

$$P(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l) = \frac{rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l)}{\sum_{k=1}^n rel(H_k | E_1, \dots, E_l)} = \frac{P(H_i) \prod_{j=1}^l P(E_j | H_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^n P(H_k) \prod_{j=1}^l P(E_j | H_k)}$$

- Evidence accumulation

$$rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l, \sim E_{l+1}) = (1 - P(E_{l+1} | H_i)) rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l)$$

$$rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l, E_{l+1}) = P(E_{l+1} | H_i) rel(H_i | E_1, \dots, E_l)$$

- Limitation

- Assumptions unreasonable for many problems
- Not suitable for multi-fault problems
- Can not represent causal chaining

- **Bayesian belief networks (BBN)**

- Integration of probability theory and causal networks
- Definition of BBN (DAG and CPD).

$$P(x_i | p_i) \text{ where } p_i \text{ is the set of all parent nodes of } x_i$$

- Independence assumption

$$P(x_i | p_i, q) = P(x_i | p_i)$$

- Computing joint probability distribution

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | p_i)$$

- Inference (e.g., belief update, MAP) is NP}-complete (exponential time)
- BBN of noise-or gate (advantages and limitations)
- Learning BBN from case data (difficulty in learning the DAG)
  
- **Dempster-Shafer theory (for representing ignorance)**
  - Difference between probability of an event and ignorance
  - How to represent uncommitted belief (ignorance)
    - Lattice of subsets of frame of discernment
    - Basic probability assignment (function  $m(S)$ )
    - $Bel(S)$ ,  $Pls(S)$ , and belief interval
  - Problem with this theory (high complexity)
  
- **Fuzzy set theory (for representing vague linguistic terms)**
  - Difference between fuzzy sets and ordinary sets
  - Fuzzy membership functions
  - Rules for fuzzy logic connectives
  - Problems with fuzzy logic (comparing with probability theory)
  
- **Uncertainty in rule-based system (certainty factors in MYCIN)**
  - CF of WM elements
  - CF of rules
  - CF propagation
  - Problems with CF